DOES DIGITALIZATION PROMOTE THE SERVICIFICATION AND GVC ACTIVITIES OF CHINESE MANUFACTURING FIRMS?

Wenxiao Wang

Shandre Thangavelu

Does Digitalization Promote the Servicification and GVC Activities of Chinese Manufacturing Firms?

Authors
Wenxiao Wang (Zhongnan University of Economics and Law)
Shandre Thangavelu (Jeffrey Cheah Institute for Southeast Asia, Sunway University)

Jeffrey Cheah Institute on Southeast Asia (JCI) *is an independent public policy think-tank based at Sunway University on the outskirts of the Malaysian capital, Kuala Lumpur. The Institute's research programme is grouped around three core disciplines: economic development, governance, and social progress, including education. Its mission is to develop solutions to some of the region's most pressing development problems. JCI seeks to engage policymakers, scholars and ordinary citizens through regular public lectures and discussions, and to build lasting academic partnerships in the region and the wider Asia-Pacific.*

Abstract

This paper uses detailed firm-level and transactional-level trade data to examine the causal relationship between digitalization and servicification in Chinese manufacturing firms. Using the novel approach of text mining and anaysis, this paper constructs two firm-level measurements of digitalization and servicification. Further, it explores the impact of digitalization on services integration within manufacturing activities. We find digitalization to have played a crucial role in enhancing manufacturing servicification, enabling firms to produce and sell more service products. We also highlight that the two mechanisms through which digitalization promotes servicification are digital technology and profitability. This paper contributes to the existing literature by developing the micro-level evidence of the digital transformation occurring within Chinese manufacturing firms and sheds light on the emerging service-led global value chain (GVC) upgrading pattern.

1. Introduction[1]

Digitalization is becoming increasingly crucial in service trade due to the rapid transformations in the global economy. The rapid pace of globalization and robotics (globotics) is changing the world economy at a rapid pace, driven by strong growth in digital technology (Baldwin and Forsid, 2020). Specifically, as the global value chains of manufacturing sectors are disrupted by the Covid-19 pandemic, firms have radically accelerated their adoption of digital technology, leading to a restructuring of the traditional manufacturing-led mode of economic development to service-linkages and service sectors, especially in developing countries such as China (Kilic and Marin, 2020). Automation and robotics are changing the comparative advantages of developing countries in manufacturing sectors due to the low labor costs, allowing them to seek development patterns in service value chains.

Despite the rapid development of digitalization and its vital role in driving manufacturing firms to engage in service sectors, however, few studies focus on the impacts of digitalization on the servicification of manufacturing, especially at the micro level. This paper aims to address this gap by examining the effects of digitalization on the servicification of manufacturing of Chinese manufacturing firms. Using detailed data combined from Chinese manufacturing firms and transactional-level trade data, this paper sheds new light on the causal relationship between digitalization and the integration of services within manufacturing firms. Furthermore, this paper explores the possible mechanisms through which digitalization facilitates the servicification process, thereby shedding light on the transformative effects of digitalization on manufacturing firms' upgrading in GVCs.

However, one challenge in this paper is accurately measuring both servicification and digitalization at the firm level. To address this key issue, firstly, we estimate the servicification of manufacturing by focusing on income of firms. By analyzing the income sources of Chinese-listed manufacturing firms, we identify and quantify the

extent of service-related integration within their manufacturing operations. Simultaneously, we construct a robust measurement of digitalization at the firm level using text analysis. Analyzing the annual reports of listed firms from 2000 to 2020, we identify and quantify textual references to digitalization, which allows us to categorize and measure the level of digitalization based on the frequency of related terms across five dimensions of digital development. These comprehensive measurements enable us to capture and analyze the relationship between digitalization and the servicification of manufacturing in China.

Another challenge to achieving this is the potential endogeneity between digitalization and the servificiation of manufacturing at the firm level. To address the potential endogeneity issue, we employ various robustness checks alongside the baseline estimation using the ordinary least squares (OLS) approach. Specifically, we use the number of post offices and telephones in 1984 as the instrument of digitalization. These historical indicators serve as instruments for digitalization due to their relevance in reflecting the early stages of communication infrastructure development, which are essential aspects of digitalization. By employing these instruments, we can minimize the bias arising from potential reverse causality or omitted variable issues, thus providing more robust and reliable results regarding the impact of digitalization on the servicification of manufacturing in China.

In this paper, we find that digitalization facilitates the servicification of manufacturing in Chinese manufacturing firms by enabling them to produce and sell more service products on average. Specifically, the improved productivity and profitability resulting from digitalization are the fundamental mechanisms through which digitalization promotes the service of manufacturing firms in China. The adoption of digital technologies enhances the overall productivity of these firms, leading to an increased provision of services within the manufacturing sector, including in-house services. Furthermore, digitalization positively impacts the profitability of these firms, creating incentives for them to produce and sell more services to maximize their profits. After a series of robustness checks and controlling for the endogenous problem, these effects are robust.

This paper may contribute to the literature in three aspects. Firstly, we provide a relatively new perspective on the role of the digital economy in manufacturing development by examining the servicification of manufacturing, which reveals how

digitalization impacts the manufacturing sector. Secondly, we present micro-level evidence of the digital transformation occurring within Chinese manufacturing firms through text analysis, which offers valuable insights into the specific ways digitalization is being adopted and integrated at the firm level. Lastly, this paper sheds light on the emerging service-led pattern of global value chain (GVC) upgrading for manufacturing firms, particularly in developing countries. By revealing this new trend, the paper highlights the changing dynamics and opportunities for manufacturing firms to enhance their competitiveness through service integration within GVCs.

The paper will proceed as follows. The next section introduces the current trends of servicification and digitalization in China. Section 3 presents the measurements and datasets. Section 4 describes the empirical strategy and the empirical results. Section 5 provides the policy discussions.

2. Background

China, often hailed as the "world factory" in global value chains (GVCs), has quickly responded to the challenges posed by the digital era. To enhance the competitiveness of manufacturing firms, many manufacturing firms in China have started integrating with service sectors, a process known as the servicification of manufacturing. This approach allows them to increase the value-added content of their products and services, thereby staying relevant in the evolving global market. As shown in Figure 1, an interesting trend is observed in China's manufacturing exports regarding service content. The domestic service content embodied in these exports, also called domestic servicification, is on the rise. This indicates that Chinese manufacturing firms are increasingly utilizing and integrating domestic services within their output.On the other hand, the foreign service content embodied in manufacturing exports, known as foreign servicification, is declining. This suggests that Chinese manufacturers are reducing their reliance on imported service inputs. These trends reflect a strategic shift towards leveraging domestic service capabilities and resources, aligning with the broader objective of enhancing value-added content within China's manufacturing sector. By relying more on domestic services, Chinese firms can strengthen their supply chains, increase efficiency, and foster innovation domestically, further solidifying their position as the "world factory" and driving sustainable growth in the digital era.

Figure 1 Servicification of Manufacturing in China

Figure 2 The share of digital output in GDP in China

Digitalization has played a pivotal role in driving economic growth and GVC upgrading in China. Figure 2 illustrates the remarkable growth of the digital sectors in China over the past two decades. The total output of digital sectors has consistently risen, reaching an impressive 39200 billion RMB in 2020, which accounted for a significant 38.2% of China's GDP. This substantial contribution highlights the profound impact of digitalization on the Chinese economy.

Figure 3 The rise of digital transactions in the United States and China

Moreover, digital technologies have empowered Chinese manufacturing firms with services, enabling them to enhance their value-added content and competitiveness within global value chains (GVCs). Through the adoption of digitalization, these firms gain access to advanced tools that facilitate the seamless integration of services. Automation, data analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence (AI) optimize production processes, drive efficiency improvements, and enable tailored services. Moreover, digitalization has fueled the emergence of innovative business models such as e-commerce and digital marketplaces, fostering solid connections between domestic service providers and manufacturers. Figure 3 shows China's dominance in retail e-commerce transactions is evident, with a 42.4% share of the global value in 2016, surpassing the United States' 33.5%. The country also leads in mobile payments, recording 790 billion transactions compared to 74 billion in the US. The widespread adoption of e-commerce and mobile payments has driven growth in

domestic service industries and expanded service options within local ecosystems. Additionally, digital platforms facilitate closer collaboration between manufacturers and service providers, strengthening global value chains and resulting in high product quality and improved customer experiences.

3. Data and Measurement

3.1 Data

The data used in this paper is derived from three main databases, namely the China Stock Market & Accounting Research Database (CSMAR), the Chinese Customs dataset, and the Chinese city statistical yearbooks. These databases provide comprehensive information on various aspects related to the servicification of manufacturing, digitalization, and relevant control variables at the firm and city levels.

The CSMAR database covers data of all listed companies on the Chinese stock market, including financial statements and information on the corporate governance of Chinese listed firms. The dataset spans from 2007 to 2018, providing a rich source of information for analyzing the servicification and digitalization measurements. By anaysing the income sources of the listed firms, we can identify the extent of service-related incomes and integrate them into the measurement of servicification. leveraging By text mining in the annual financial reports, we collect the number of texts related to digitalization and construct the measurement of digitalization at the firm level.

The Chinese Customs dataset encompasses transactional-level trade data of all Chinese firms. This dataset is crucial for identifying the listed firms' performance within global value chains (GVCs). By examining the trade patterns and analyzing the extent of their involvement in international trade, we can assess the level of integration within GVCs for these firms, which are crucial in understanding the relationship between digitalization, servicification, and the firms' position in GVCs.

To account for city-level control variables, we rely on the Chinese city statistical yearbooks. These yearbooks provide a wide range of data at the city level, including variables such as GDP per capita, education investment, and other socio-economic indicators. Incorporating these control variables allows us to control for regional variations and capture the impact of local economic conditions on digitalization, servicification, and GVC performance of manufacturing firms.

3.2 The Measurement of Servicification of Manufacturing Firms

The measurement of servicification of manufacturing firms can be approached from two perspectives: the input approach and the output approach.

In the input approach, servicification is measured by identifying the service inputs used by manufacturing firms and determining the share of service inputs in the total inputs. Service inputs refer to the various services that manufacturing firms utilize in their production processes, such as logistics, marketing, research and development, and after-sales support. By quantifying the proportion of service inputs in the overall inputs, we can gauge the extent to which manufacturing firms rely on services to enhance their production capabilities and value-added content.

In the output approach, servicification is measured by focusing on the service income generated by manufacturing firms. This approach involves identifying the income derived from service-related activities, such as offering maintenance services, consulting services, or software support. By calculating the share of service income in the total income of manufacturing firms, we can assess the level of integration of services into their revenue streams. This measurement provides insights into how manufacturing firms have diversified their business activities to encompass service provision, thereby increasing their value-added content.

Both the input and output approaches provide complementary perspectives on servicification, capturing different aspects of the relationship between manufacturing and services. By examining both the share of service inputs and service income, we can obtain a comprehensive understanding of how manufacturing firms engage with services and the extent to which they have transformed into service-oriented entities. These measurements contribute to a deeper analysis of the role of digitalization and its impact on the servicification process within the manufacturing sector.

3.3 The Measurement of Digitalization

The measurement of digitalization in manufacturing firms can be conducted using various indicators that capture different dimensions of digital technology adoption and application. One approach is text mining and analysis, as proposed by Wu et al. (2021). This approach involves extracting relevant information from texts, such as annual reports or other company documents, to identify indicators of digitalization.

The five dimensions of digitalization identified in this study include artificial intelligence, big data, cloud computing technology, blockchain technology, and digital technology application. Within each dimension, specific indicators are considered to assess the level of digitalization in manufacturing firms. These indicators include 76 specific indicators, such as the presence and extent of artificial intelligence utilization, the utilization and analysis of big data, the adoption of cloud computing technology, the integration of blockchain technology into operations, and the application of digital technology in various aspects of the business. Figure 4 shows the five dimensions of digitalization of Chinese manufacturing firms are increasing, suggesting a rise in digitalization.

Figure 4 Digitalization of Chinese manufacturing listed firms using the text mining and analysis

An alternative indicator of digitalization is the share of intangible assets related to digital technology in the total asset base of manufacturing firms. This indicator captures the investment in digital assets, such as software, digital platforms, e-commerce shops, and management systems. It reflects the extent to which manufacturing firms have allocated resources to develop and acquire digital technologies that support their

operations and enhance their digital capabilities.

By considering these dimensions and indicators, the measurement of digitalization provides a comprehensive assessment of the level of digital technology adoption and application within manufacturing firms. It allows for a deeper understanding of how firms embrace digitalization in various aspects of their operations, from data management and analysis to the utilization of advanced technologies. Such measurements are essential for examining the relationship between digitalization and the servicification of manufacturing, as well as assessing the impact of digitalization on firm performance and competitiveness in global value chains.

4. Empirical Specification and Results

4.1 Empirical Model

The model specification for examining the relationship between digitalization and the servicification of manufacturing can be represented as follows:

$$serv_{ijt} = \beta_1 digit_{ijt} + \beta_2 X_{ijt} + \beta_3 C_{jt} + \delta_i + \delta_t + \varepsilon_{ijt}$$

Where i is the manufacturing listed firms, j is city and t is year. $serv_{ijt}$ represents the servicification level of manufacturing for firm i in city j at time t. This is the dependent variable that captures the extent of servication of manufacturing firms in China. $digit_{ijt}$ denotes the digitalization level of firm i in city j at time t, which captures the degree of digital technology adoption and application within the manufacturing firm. X_{ijt} represents a vector of firm-level control variables, such as firm size, age, ownership structure, and investment in research and development (R&D). These control variables account for other factors that may affect the servicification level and allow for a more accurate estimation of the effect of digitalization. C_{jt} represents a vector of city-level control variables, such as the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita and education investment, which capture the contextual factors at the city level that may affect the servicification level of manufacturing firms. δ_i and δ_t represent the firm and time fixed effects, respectively, which control for unobserved heterogeneity across firms and time-specific factors. ε_{ijt} is the error term. The description of variables and statistical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Variable and Statistical Description

variable		N	mean	sd
lnservi_income	log of servicification from income	40576	0.23	0.3
lnservi_cost	log of servicification from cost	40576	0.58	0.22
lndigi1	log of digitalization index by text-mining	40576	1.05	1.35
lndigi2	log of digitalization index by intangible asset	40576	2.46	1.3
Lntfpop	log of TFP by OP	34273	2.07	0.13
Lnemp	log of employment	40507	7.53	1.38
Age	firm age	40576	9.14	6.99
lnSale	log of sales	39852	21.17	1.57
Size	log of total asset	40574	21.97	1.51
Roa	return to asset	40574	0.57	117.26
Roe	return on equity	40102	0.02	2.51

Note: p-values in parentheses; * $p<0.1$, ** $p<0.05$, *** $p<0.01$.

4.2 Baseline estimation

Table 2 presents the baseline estimation results for the causal relationships between servicification from income (lnservi_income) and digitalization measures (lndigi1 and lndigi2), controlling for the firm-level and city-level control variables. Column 1 suggests that firms that adopt digital technologies and practices are more likely to generate income from service-related activities. This result is confirmed by the statistically significant and positive coefficient for lndigi1 (digitalization index by text-mining), indicating that an increase in digitalization is associated with a higher level of servicification from income. Column 2 introduces firm fixed effects, and the coefficient for lndigi1 remains significant and positive, reinforcing the finding that digitalization

positively affects servicification from income. Including the fixed effects helps control for unobserved heterogeneity across firms that may affect the outcome variable.

In Column 3, we include the firm-level characteristics such as lntfpop (TFP by OP), lnemp (employment), age (firm age), lnSale (log of sales), size (log of total assets), roa (return to assets), and roe (return on equity) as control variables. The coefficient of digitalization on servicification remains statistically significant and consistent with the previous models, indicating that the relationship between digitalization and servicification from income holds even after controlling for firm-level characteristics. We also find that firms with higher TFP, sales, firm size, and return on assets are associated with a higher level of servicification from income.

Table 2 Baseline estimation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	lnservi_income	lnservi_income	lnservi_income	lnservi_income
lndigi1	0.031***	0.021***	0.020***	0.016***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
lndigi2				0.006**
				(0.024)
Lntfpop			0.485***	0.486***
			(0.000)	(0.000)
Lnemp			-0.011	-0.012
			(0.205)	(0.196)
Age			-0.008	-0.009
			(0.214)	(0.193)
lnSale			-0.041**	-0.042**
			(0.014)	(0.014)
Size			0.029***	0.028***
			(0.000)	(0.000)
Roa			-0.046**	-0.047**
			(0.028)	(0.025)
Roe			-0.001***	-0.001***

		(0.002)	(0.002)
Firm FE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
N	40576	40576	34178	34178
r2	0.030	0.035	0.056	0.056

Note: p-values in parentheses; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

Column 4 further includes lndigi2 (digitalization index by intangible asset) as an additional measure of digitalization. The coefficient for lndigi2 is statistically significant and positive (0.006**), indicating that a higher level of digitalization measured by intangible assets is associated with increased servicification from income. This suggests that Chinese manufacturing firms with more intangible digital technologies assets are more likely to engage in service-oriented activities.

Overall, the baseline estimation results in Table 2 provide evidence that digitalization, as measured by both text-mining and intangible assets, has a positive and significant impact on servicification from income in Chinese manufacturing firms. These findings suggest that adopting digital technologies and leveraging intangible assets related to digitalization can enhance the integration of services within the manufacturing sector.

4.3 Possible Mechansims

This section investigates how digitalization affects the servicification of manufacturing. In Table 3, we highlight that digital technology is a possible mechanism through which digitalization contributes to the expansion of services within manufacturing firms. The improvement in technology is measured by the TFP by Olley and Pakes (2003). To explore this, we include the interaction between digitalization and total factor productivity (TFP) by OP in the model to investigate whether digitalization affects servicification via adjusting productivity. As shown in Column 1, the coefficient of the interaction term c.lndigi1#c.lntfpop is positive and weakly significant, suggesting that the impacts of digitalization on the servicification of manufacturing are enhanced by productivity improvement.

In column 2, we use an alternative approach by Levinsohn et al.(2003) to measure

productivity. The coefficient of the interaction term c.lndigi1#c.lntfplp becomes statistically significant and positive, suggesting manufacturing firms with higher levels of digitalization and TFP by OP are more likely to experience an increase in servicification after digitalization.

Column 3 and Column 4 further include the alternative indicator of digitalization (digitalization index by intangible asset) in the estimation. The interaction term remains positive and statistically significant in both columns, suggesting manufacturing firms that effectively leverage digital technologies and exhibit high levels of TFP are more capable of expanding their service offerings and capitalizing on the opportunities provided by new technologies. These results suggest that manufacturing firms can harness the potential of new technologies and position themselves for greater servicification, thereby enhancing their competitiveness and performance in the evolving business landscape (Acemoglu and Restrepo, 2020).

Table 3 Possible Mechanism: Technology Effect

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	lnservi_income	lnservi_income	lnservi_income	lnservi_income
lndigi1	-0.040	-0.034	-0.072**	-0.082**
	(0.243)	(0.355)	(0.030)	(0.018)
lndigi2			0.006**	0.007**
			(0.021)	(0.012)
lntfpop	0.223***		0.499***	
	(0.000)		(0.000)	
c.lndigi1#c.lntfpop	0.028*		0.042***	
	(0.090)		(0.009)	
lntfplp		0.229***		0.964***
		(0.000)		(0.000)
c.lndigi1#c.lntfplp		0.024		0.044***
		(0.160)		(0.006)
Firm FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R-squared	0.043	0.042	0.058	0.074
Obs	34273	34273	34178	34178

Note: p-values in parentheses; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

Another possible channel through which digitalization affects servicification of manufacturing sectors is profitability. A good example is IBM, which rapidly transitioned from manufacturing to services to adapt to the digital landscape and maintain its profitability in the high-tech sector (Ahamed et al., 2013). As depicted in Table 4, the profit effect suggests that firms are driven by the potential profitability of service-oriented activities and adjust their business models accordingly to capture the opportunities presented by digitalization.

To capture the potential mechanism of the profit effect on servicification,we include the interactions between digitalization (measured by lndigi1) with return on equity (lnroe) and return on assets (lnroa) to examine whether digitalization affects the profitability of manufacturing firms which further promotes them to produce more services.

Table 4 Possible Mechanism: Profit Effect

	(1) lnservi_income	(2) lnservi_income	(3) lnservi_income	(4) lnservi_income
lndigi1	0.028***	0.022***	0.026***	0.023***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
lndigi2			0.006**	0.006**
			(0.031)	(0.029)
lnroa	-0.001		-0.004	
	(0.756)		(0.142)	
c.lndigi1#c.lnroa	0.002		0.003**	
	(0.103)		(0.021)	
lnroe		0.005***		0.005**
		(0.007)		(0.040)

c.lndigi1#c.lnroe		0.001		0.003**
		(0.478)		(0.039)
Firm FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R-squared	0.032	0.031	0.052	0.053
Obs	36160	36012	30548	30548

Note: p-values in parentheses; * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

As shown in Column 1 and Column 2, the coefficient of the interaction terms c.lndigi1#c.lnroa and c.lndigi1#c.lnroe are positive but not statistically significant, suggesting the joint effect of digitalization and profitability may have a limited impact on servicification from income in this model. However, we include the alternative indicator of digitalization in Column 3, and the coefficient of the interaction term c.lndigi1#c.lnroa is statistically significant and positive, indicating that manufacturing firms with higher levels of digitalization and greater returns on assets are more likely to experience an increase in servicification, potentially driven by adjustments in their profitability. In Column 4, the coefficient of c.lndigi1#c.lnroa remains statistically significant and positive after controlling for both indicators of digitalization, suggesting the interaction between digitalization and return on assets plays an important role in driving servicification from income.

Overall, Table 4 underscores the significance of digitalization and profitability indicators in shaping the servicification strategies of manufacturing firms. Firms that embrace digital transformation and achieve higher returns on assets are more likely to prioritize services as a profitable avenue, driving the evolution of their business models in response to the opportunities presented by the digital landscape.

5. Conclusion

This paper examines the relationship between digitalization, profitability, and servicification in manufacturing firms. The study provides valuable insights into how digitalization and profitability indicators influence the shift toward service-oriented activities by analyzing a comprehensive dataset and employing text mining and analysis.

The findings of this paper highlight the significant role of digitalization in driving servicification. Firms with higher levels of digitalization are more likely to engage in service provision as they leverage new technologies, embrace digital platforms, and adapt their business models to capture the opportunities presented by the digital world. Moreover, the paper emphasizes the importance of technology and profitability in influencing the servicification strategies of manufacturing firms. Digitalization improves firms' technology level, enabling them to produce more service output and increase the level of servificiation. Morevoer, Higher profitability levels, driven by digitalization, motivate firms to shift their focus toward services. This profit-driven approach highlights the potential for manufacturing firms to tap into the profitability advantages that services offer in the digital era.

In conlusion, this paper sheds light on the interplay between digitalization, profitability, and servicification in the manufacturing industry. The findings emphasize the transformative power of digital technologies and the profit-driven motivations behind the shift towards service-oriented activities. By understanding and harnessing the potential of digitalization, manufacturing firms can navigate the evolving business landscape, unlock new revenue streams, and capitalize on the profitability advantages offered by the service sector.

Reference

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and jobs: Evidence from US labor markets. Journal of political economy, 128(6), 2188-2244.

Ahamed, Z., Kamoshida, A., & Inohara, T. (2013). Organizational factors to the effectiveness of implementing servitization strategy.

Amiti, Mary and Jozef Konings (2007), "Trade liberalization, intermediate inputs, and productivity: Evidence from Indonesia." *American Economic Review*, 97, 1611–1638.

Amiti, Mary and Shang-Jin Wei (2009), "Service offshoring and productivity: Evidence from the us." *World Economy*, 32, 203–220.

Anukoonwattaka, Witada, Marco Scagliusi, and Mia Mikic (2015), "Servicification and industrial exports from Asia and the pacific."

Arnold, Jens Matthias, Beata Javorcik, Molly Lipscomb, and Aaditya Mattoo (201), *Services reform and manufacturing performance: Evidence from India*, volume 27. The World Bank.

Beverelli, Cosimo, Matteo Fiorini, and Bernard Hoekman (2017), "Services trade policy and manufacturing productivity: The role of institutions." *Journal of International Economics*, 104, 166–182.

Brandt, Loren, Johannes Van Biesebroeck, and Yifan Zhang (2014), "Challenges of working with the Chinese nbs firm-level data." *China Economic Review*, 30, 339–352.

Bustos, Paula (2011), "Trade liberalization, exports, and technology upgrading: Evidence on the impact of Mercosur on Argentinian firms." *American economic review*, 101, 304–40.

Cai, Hongbin and Qiao Liu (2009), "Competition and corporate tax avoidance: Evidence from chinese industrial firms." *The Economic Journal*, 119, 764–795.

Chen, Bo, Miaojie Yu, and Zhihao Yu (2017), "Measured skill premia and input trade liberalization: Evidence from Chinese firms." *Journal of International Economics*, 109, 31–42.

Crozet, Matthieu, Emmanuel Milet, et al. (2014), "The servitization of French manufacturing firms." Technical report.

David, H and David Dorn (2013), "The growth of low-skill service jobs and the polarization of the us labor market." *American Economic Review*, 103, 1553–97.

Feenstra, Robert C, Zhiyuan Li, and Miaojie Yu (2014), "Exports and credit constraints un- der incomplete information: Theory and evidence from china." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 96, 729–744.

Hoekman, Bernard and Ben Shepherd (2017), "Services productivity, trade policy and manufacturing exports." *The World Economy*, 40, 499–516.

Kilic, K., & Marin, D. (2020). How COVID-19 is transforming the world economy. VoxEU. org, 10.

Lanz, Rainer and Andreas Maurer (2015), "Services and global value chains: Some evidence on servicification of manufacturing and services networks." Technical report, WTO Staff Working Paper.

Levinsohn, James and Amil Petrin (2003), "Estimating production functions using inputs to control for unobservable." *The Review of Economic Studies*, 70, 317–341.

Lodefalk, Magnus (2013), "Servicification of manufacturing–evidence from Sweden." *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*, 6, 87–113.

Lodefalk, Magnus (2017), "Servicification of firms and trade policy implications." *World Trade Review*, 16, 59–83.

Melitz, Marc J (2003), "The impact of trade on intra-industry reallocations and aggregate industry productivity." *Econometrica*, 71, 1695–1725.

Melitz, Marc J and Gianmarco IP Ottaviano (2008), "Market size, trade, and productivity." *The review of economic studies*, 75, 295–316.

Miroudot, Sébastien, Charles Cadestin, et al. (2017), "Services in global value chains: From inputs to value-creating activities." Technical report, OECD Publishing.

Olley, G Steven and Ariel Pakes (1992), "The dynamics of productivity in the telecommunica-tions equipment industry." Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.

Reddy, Ketan, Subash Sasidharan, Shandre Mugan Thangavelu, 2022. "Does Servicification of Manufacturing Increase the GVC Activities of Firms: Case of India", 2022, *The World Economy*, July 2022. https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.13318

Wolfmayr, Yvonne (2008), "Service inputs and competitiveness of manufacturing exports of oecd countries."

Yi, Kei-Mu (2003), "Can vertical specialization explain the growth of world trade?" *Journal of political Economy*, 111, 52–102.

Yu, Miaojie (2015), "Processing trade, tariff reductions and firm productivity: evidence from chinese firms." *The Economic Journal*, 125, 943–988.

Wang, Wenxiao, Shandre Mugan Thangavelu, Faqin Lin, 2021. "Global Value Chains, Firms and Wage Inequality: Evidence from China", 2021, *China Economic Review*, 66(21), 2021.

Wu, Y., Wang, H., Wang, X., & Li, D. (2021). Digitalization and Servicification of Manufacturing Firms in China: Evidence from Text Mining. Sustainability, 13(9), 5235.

SUNWAY UNIVERSITY DU 025 (B)   A member of Sunway Education Group • No.5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Selangor Darul Ehsan. ☏ +603 7491 8622

OWNED AND GOVERNED BY THE JEFFREY CHEAH FOUNDATION (800946-T)